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ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the effect of the chief financial officer's educational background and profitability on audit report lag. The research object is infrastructure sector companies for the 2018-2021 period. The method in this research is quantitative research with multiple linear regression tests. The sampling technique used is the purposive sampling method. Based on the study's results, (1) CFO's educational background does not affect audit report lag. (2) Profitability has a positive effect on audit report lag. The limitations of this study are the possibility of other independent variables that can explain in more detail the audit report lag phenomenon.
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Introduction

In the business world, economic development is increasing rapidly due to the development of the capital market (Al-Ebel, Baatwah, & Al-Musali, 2020). Various business entities become competitive in providing and producing financial reports which are considered important as a form of accountability for the company's performance to parties who have the authority to know whether the company is effective in managing existing resources or not (Jiménez-Marín, Elías Zambrano, Galiano-Coronil, & Ravina-Ripoll, 2021). Financial statements are things that must be submitted by entities that have gone public and in presenting financial reports, of course, they are regulated by Financial Accounting Standards in Indonesia and will be audited by a public accountant (Durand, 2019).

Submission of financial reports must be timely in order to maintain the relevance of the information contained therein. Timeliness in financial reporting is considered as one of the main criteria for measuring the quality of these financial reports (Kaaroud, Mohd Ariffin, & Ahmad, 2020). According to the Financial Services Authority Regulation No. 29/POJK.04/2016 which states that public companies are required to submit an audited annual report no later than 120 days after the end of the financial year. Financial reports have an urgency for business continuity, if there is a delay in presenting financial reports it will have an impact on investors in making investment.
decisions (Agyei-Mensah, 2018). One of the external delay factors in the financial stimulus report can come from the auditor because the financial statements prepared by the company must be audited before being published to the public. The audit process can take a long time, if there are large losses in the financial statements, this is what is called audit report lag (Wan Hussin, Bamahros, & Shukeri, 2018).

Likewise, there are internal factors that can cause audit report lag, namely the educational background of the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and profitability. According to Aier et al., explaining the educational background of a CFO can play an important role in determining the quality of financial reports (Almuzaiker, 2018). The CFO is tasked with overseeing the implementation of accounting policies and procedures and the preparation of financial reports. CFO also has a significant influence on the restructuring of financial statements (Dikolli, Heater, Mayew, & Sethuraman, 2021). The characteristics of a personal CFO will greatly influence his intrinsic motivation and behavior in accounting policies. If fraud occurs in the financial statements, the characteristics of the CFO will be taken into consideration and cause delays in financial reporting (Šušak, 2020). Based on the Financial Services Authority Regulation No.75/P0JK.04/2017 states that directors who have responsibility for financial reports are the main director and the director in charge of accounting or finance. In line with compliance theory, these rules provide a perspective if CFO accountants are considered to have knowledge in accounting and tend to be more careful in making decisions so that they can maintain financial reporting responsibilities in accordance with applicable regulatory principles (Aifuwa & Saidu, 2020).

Apart from observing top management, the next factor is profitability. According to Hapsari et al., (in Oussii & Boulila Taktak, 2018) explains that profitability has an influence on audit report lag in order to evaluate the quality of a company’s financial performance. On the other hand, the opinion of Yendrawati & Mahendra (in Harris, Tate, & Zimmerman, 2019) explained that if a company has high profitability, it can be good news for the public, therefore the audit process of financial reports will be quickly revealed and can be conveyed to the public. Likewise, in the opinion of Lianto & Budi (in Ocak, 2018), if a company has high profitability, it will reduce audit report lag (Musonnafa et al, 2022). This is in line with the signaling theory which reveals that the actions of company management can provide signals to investors (Florackis & Sainani, 2018). The information provided can be in the form of good news or bad news, both of which have the ability to influence investors’ actions in making investment decisions (Oussii & Boulila Taktak, 2018a).

This research uses the Infrastructure sector which is listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the 2018-2021 period. This study aims to analyze the effect of CFO educational background and profitability on audit report lag. Based on the following figure, we visualize the trend of audit report lag in the infrastructure sector (Biswas, Roberts, & Whiting, 2019).

Figure 1. Phenomenon of Audit Report Lag 2018-2021
Based on the picture above, Bali Towerindo Sentra Tbk has audit report lag intervals of 51, 70, 63 and 54 days in the 2018-2021 period. Meanwhile, Citra Marga Nusaphala Persada Tbk has intervals of 63, 135, 141 and 116 days during 2018-2021 (Sylvia et al, 2018). In line with compliance theory because the auditor is required to complete the audit process according to the time limit imposed in order to avoid sanctions that threaten the independence of the auditor. If the audit report lag period occurs longer, the auditor may be affected by losses on the reputation of the name and financial statements of the company being audited, which can raise doubts among investors (Knechel, Mao, Qi, & Zhuang, 2021).

Methods
In this study, the authors used a quantitative approach. The independent variables in this study are the educational background of the CFO and profitability. This study uses secondary data taken through financial reports from the Indonesian Stock Exchange website. The object of research was carried out in the infrastructure sector during the 2018-2021 period. Infrastructure companies are one of the sectors whose existence is very crucial to support the Indonesian economy. In the provision of infrastructure in Indonesia, there are still many obstacles at several stages of the project, from preparation to implementation. The main problem with the neglect of the development process in Indonesia is the weakness in internal control in decision making (Alyaarubi, Alkindi, & Ahmed, 2021). The samples obtained were 33 companies from a total of 64 populations of infrastructure sector companies. The sampling technique uses a purposive sampling method with certain criteria. There were 132 objects of observation to be studied, but experienced data outliers so that the data changed to 113 objects during the 2018-2021 period (Rich et al, 2018).

Furthermore, the data analysis technique used in this study is Multiple Linear Regression analysis. Multiple linear regression analysis is a statistical tool that can help predict the values of the dependent variable by more than one variable (Ghardallou, 2022). The multiple linear regression analysis equation is arranged as follows:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$$

Where:

- $Y$ = Audit Report Lag
- $\alpha$ = Constant
- $\beta_1$ $\beta_2$ = Regression Coefficient
- $X_1$ = CFO educational background
- $X_2$ = Profitability
- $\varepsilon$ = Standard Error

Results and Discussion

Table 1. Model Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 a. Predictors: (Constant), ROA, CFO</td>
<td>.265*</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>24.04120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on table 1, it is found that the Adjusted R Square value obtained is 0.53 (53%). It can be interpreted that the X variable can explain the Y variable by 53% and as a comparison, the others (100% - 53% = 47%) are explained by variables outside the research mode.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-89931051.00</td>
<td>134455809.00</td>
<td>21253534.18</td>
<td>43586677.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>84.2478</td>
<td>24.70704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on table 2, CFO obtains a minimum value of 0.00 and a maximum of 1.00 due to dummy variables. CFO has an average value of 0.5929 with a standard deviation of 0.49348. ROA obtained a minimum value of -89931051.00 at Waskita Karya (Persero) Tbk in 2020 and a maximum of 134455809.0 at Link Net Tbk in 2019. ROA has an average value of 21253534.18 with a standard deviation of 4358677.74 (Nurfitriya, Koeswandi, Rachmani, & Widyawati, 2021). ARL obtained a minimum score of 38 at XL Axiata Tbk in 2019 and a maximum of 148 at Nusantara Infrastructure Tbk in 2020. ARL has an average value of 84.2478 with a standard deviation of 24.70704 (Habib & Hamadneh, 2021).

### Tabel 3. Results of Multiple Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized B</th>
<th>Coefficients Std. Error</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients Beta</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>82.569</td>
<td>3.670</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>22.499</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>-2.510</td>
<td>4.611</td>
<td>-.050</td>
<td>-544</td>
<td>.587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>1.490E-7</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>2.854</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: ARL

Based on table 3, the data in this study can be arranged using the regression equation as follows:

\[ Y = 82.569 - 2.510X_1 + 1.490E^{-7}X_2 \]

The results of the regression analysis can be interpreted as \( \alpha = 82.569 \). The CFO variable has a coefficient (B) of -2.510 with a negative value because (\( \text{coefficient} < 0.0 \)), which means that the CFO variable has a negative relationship with audit report lag. The ROA variable has a coefficient (B) of 1.490E-7 with a positive value because (\( \text{coefficient} > 0.0 \)), it means that ROA has a positive relationship with audit report lag (Rahman, Hossain, Chowdhury, & Hoque, 2022).

### Tabel 4. Statistical Test Results F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANOVAa</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4791.316</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2395.658</td>
<td>4.145</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>63577.746</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>577.980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>68369.062</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: ARL
b. Predictors: (Constant), ROA, CFO

t. Based on table 4, the F test statistic value is 4.145 with the Sig. 0.18. It was concluded that F count 4.145 > 1 F Table and Sig < 0.05 means that the independent variables simultaneously affect the dependent variable.

### Results of CFO Educational Background on Audit Report Lag

As the output in table 3, it is explained that CFO has no effect on audit report lag, and H1 is rejected. CFO has Sig 0.587 < 0.05 with a t value of -0.544 < 1.98177. In line with the results of research from Anisa and Raden (2022) which explains that there is no significant relationship between CFOs who have special certification and the company's financial performance (Alyaarubi et al., 2021). CFOs who have undergraduate and doctoral education backgrounds do not show any significant relationship with the company's financial performance (Atiase et al, 2018).
Based on diagram 1, CFO has no effect on audit report lag because the 33 infrastructure companies during the 2018-2021 research period that have CFO Accounting are Acset Indonesia Tbk with audit report lag intervals of 56, 51, 50 and 49 days. Meanwhile, those with non-accounting CFOs during the 2018-2021 period were Inti Bangun Sejahtera Tbk with audit report lag intervals of 88, 93, 96 and 116 days. This means that both companies that have CFO accounting or non-accounting will still run their companies well (Solesvik et al., 2019).

Profitability Results Against Audit Report Lag

As the results of the analysis in table 3, explain that ROA has a positive effect on audit report lag, and H2 is rejected. ROA has a value of Sig. 0.005 < 0.05 with a calculated t value of 2.854 > 1.98177 t table. In line with research from Natalia et al., (2021), which explains that profitability has a significant effect on audit report lag. High and low profits earned can affect the submission of audited financial reports to the publik.

As can be seen in the picture above, the profitability of the 33 companies has an ROA value greater than 0.05 (Ho, Tsai, Chen, & Lu, 2021). One of these companies is Bukaka Teknik Utama Tbk with ROA intervals of 0.25, 0.10, 0.08 and 0.09 (Indarti, 2021). According to Zinn (2021), which explains that the ROA value > 0.05 means that most of the management of the company's assets and liabilities is managed quite well by the management, so the company will get a significantly high profit (Saridakis et al., 2018).

Conclusion

Based on the results of the research and discussion, it can be concluded that CFO has no significant effect in a negative direction on ARL in infrastructure companies listed On The IDX in 2018-2021, CFO Has Sig 0.587 > 0.05 With a calculated T Value Of -0.544 < 1.98118 T Table. Then, ROA Has A Positive Effect On ARL In Infrastructure Companies Listed On The IDX In 2018-2021, ROA Has A Sig Of 0.005 <0.05 With A T-Count Value Of 2.854 <1.98118 T Table.
The limitations of this study are the possibility of other independent variables that can explain in more detail the audit report lag phenomenon. It is recommended for further research to look for other variables that have a more significant effect on audit report lag and expand the research object or research year to identify deeper problems in this phenomenon.
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