# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY: APPLIED BUSINESS AND EDUCATION RESEARCH

2024, Vol. 5, No. 9, 3574 – 3582 http://dx.doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.05.09.16

#### **Research Article**

# Through the Lens of Accountability: Body-Worn Cameras in Police Operations at Pampanga Police Provincial Office

Hamilton A. Mercado\*

Philippine College of Criminology, Graduate School, 641 Sales Street, Sta Cruz, Manila, Philippines

Article history: Submission 09 August 2024 Revised 07 September 2024 Accepted 23 September 2024

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: thanyatida@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

This study evaluates the compliance of the Pampanga Provincial Police Office with the use of body-worn cameras and alternative recording devices during police operations in the province Pampanga and examines the severity of problems encountered in their use. Specifically, it assesses compliance in terms of procedural fairness, police performance, data protocols, privacy concerns, and camera benefit while investigating the relationship between compliance levels and encountered problems. It hypothesizes that higher compliance levels are associated with fewer operational problems and that certain areas, such as data protocols and privacy, may present greater challenges. A descriptive correlational design was used, employing structured questionnaires to gather data from 200 respondents, including police officers and community members. Key findings indicate a very high compliance level in using Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Recording Devices (Mean = 3.49), but also highlight significant challenges, with an overall severity rating of problems as high (Mean = 2.81). Correlational analysis suggests a moderate positive relationship between perceived compliance and the severity of problems faced. The study recommends tailored training, procedural adjustments, and improved data management and privacy measures to address these challenges. Limitations of the study include potential biases in respondent perceptions and constraints in generalizing findings to other regions. Policymakers are urged to enhance transparency, accountability, and public trust in police practices to foster a safer community environment in Pampanga Province

*Keywords*: Body-word camera, Compliance, Problems encountered, Pampanga Provincial Police Office, Perception

#### Introduction

In the past ten years, the police have been struggling with a huge problem – people

questioning whether they are doing their job fairly. As more reports about excessive police force emerged, the situation grew worse. Many

#### How to cite:

Mercado, H. A. (2024). Through the Lens of Accountability: Body-Worn Cameras in Police Operations at Pampanga Police Provincial Office. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research*. 5(9), 3574 – 3582. doi: 10.11594/ijmaber.05.09.16

people have questioned if the police are carrying out their duties properly in light of these circumstances. A widespread reassessment of strategies has evolved as a response to the legitimacy issue facing police enforcement forces worldwide, with the use of body-worn cameras as a possible countermeasure. Police have been employing body cams all around the world as a solution to this problem. Police officers are required to wear these cameras, which are intended to improve the public's perception of the police and to restore the eroded public trust (Lum et al., 2019).

Body-Worn Cameras are being increasingly adopted by law enforcement agencies, and this significant move has a number of implications that go beyond just restoring lost public confidence. Incorporating this technology strategically has not only revealed new avenues for enhancing accountability and transparency within law enforcement, but it has also sparked in-depth discussions about issues like privacy, data handling, and the general relationship between officers and the communities they serve.

A great deal of research has been done on the use of body-worn cameras by law enforcement agencies, with an emphasis on how these devices affect police conduct, public views, and interactions in general. The impact of bodyworn cameras on instances involving the use of force, citizen complaints, officer professionalism, trust-building, and procedural fairness has been the subject of numerous studies.

Early studies mainly focused on the experiences of body-worn cameras early adopters in an effort to comprehend how BWCs alter police officers' contacts with the public and their behavior. Following the adoption of body-worn cameras, studies like those by Ariel, Farrar, and Sutherland (2015) and Sousa, Miethe, and Sakiyama (2015) indicated a consistent decrease in officer use of force and citizen complaints.

Body-Worn Cameras are typically viewed favorably by police officers, who see them as instruments that boost accountability, transparency, and confidence in law enforcement encounters. These tools are viewed as impartial records of what happened, providing defense against baseless claims, and boosting professionalism by motivating cops to follow correct procedures. Officers are aware of privacy invasion issues and the potential effects frequent monitoring may have on their judgment. Due to the availability of audio-visual evidence that offers an impartial account of events, body-worn cameras have been linked to enhanced citizen satisfaction during police confrontations. According to research, the presence of body-worn cameras can increase legitimacy and trust between the police and the community, resulting in more favorable interactions.

Body-Worn Cameras are typically viewed favorably by police officers, who see them as instruments that boost accountability, transparency, and confidence in law enforcement encounters. These tools are viewed as impartial records of what happened, providing defense against baseless claims, and boosting professionalism by motivating cops to follow correct procedures. Officers are aware of privacy invasion issues and the potential effects frequent monitoring may have on their judgment.

Hence, due to the availability of audio-visual evidence that offers an impartial account of events, body-worn cameras have been linked to enhanced citizen satisfaction during police confrontations. According to research, the presence of body-worn cameras can increase legitimacy and trust between the police and the community, resulting in more favorable interactions.

#### The Research Problem

This study aims to determine the level of compliance of Police Provincial Office in the use of body-worn cameras and alternative recording devices in the conduct of police operations in Province of Pampanga. Furthermore, it seeks to unravel the degree of seriousness of the problems encountered by the Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the use body-worn cameras and alternative recording devices.

Specifically, it aims to answer the following questions:

 What is the level of compliance of Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the use of body-worn camera and alternative-recording device in the conduct of police operations in terms of: 1.1. Procedural fairness

- 1.2. Police performance
- 1.3. Data Protocols
- 1.4. Privacy concern
- 1.5. Camera Benefit
- 2. What is the degree of seriousness of the problems encountered by the Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Recording Device in the conduct of police operations in terms of the variables aforementioned?
- 3. Is there a significant relationship between the level of compliance and problems encountered of Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Recording Devices in the conduct of police operations?
- 4. Based on the findings of the study, what policy brief can be proposed on the use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Recording Devices?

## **The Research Methodology**

The study uses a descriptive correlational design to examine the level of compliance and seriousness of problems related to body-worn cameras and alternative recording devices in police operations. It aims to determine if there is a significant relationship between compliance and the problems encountered without implying causation. A structured questionnaire was developed, and a survey was administered to 200 respondents, including 100 police officers in Pampanga Province and 100 community members. The research was conducted within the jurisdiction of the Pampanga Provincial Police Office, which operates within the framework of law enforcement to maintain public safety, prevent criminal activities, and foster positive community relationships. The province's diverse population and socio-economic backgrounds contribute to a variety of perspectives on policing, crime prevention, and interactions with law enforcement. The study's findings may be influenced by the size and representativeness of the sample, as well as social desirability bias and subjective perceptions. The study's scope and limitations may limit its generalizability to other police departments or regions with potentially different contexts and characteristics.

This study used a researcher-made questionnaire distributed to community and police officers in Pampanga Police Provincial Office. The questionnaire underwent screening and pilot testing, with Cronbach's Alpha used to examine the results. The respondents answered a 4-point Likert-scale about the level of compliance in the use of body-worn cameras in law enforcement operations. The questionnaire covered four major items: procedural fairness, police performance, data protocols, privacy concern and camera benefit. The study aimed to obtain accurate and reliable results that supported the investigation's objectives. The data was treated using mean and standard deviation for each dimension, and Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between compliance and problems encountered. A 4-point Likert scale was used to determine the level of compliance of Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the use of body-worn cameras and alternative-recording devices in police operations.

## **Results and Discussions**

The outcome of the study can be summarized based on the findings and insights derived from the research conducted on the use of body-worn cameras and alternative-recording devices by the Pampanga Police Provincial Office during police operations.

#### The study yielded several key outcomes:

The study revealed insights into how officers and stakeholders perceive compliance with protocols and procedures related to the use of body-worn cameras and alternative recording devices. It provided valuable information on the perceived levels of procedural fairness, police performance, data protocols, privacy concerns and camera benefit within the Pampanga Police Provincial Office.

Through statistical analysis, the study identified correlations between indicators of compliance and the degree of problems encountered during police operations. These correlations shed light on the complex interplay between perceptions of compliance and operational challenges faced by the Pampanga Police Provincial Office. The level of compliance in using Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative-Recording Devices during police operations was rated very high (Mean=3.49) by both police officers and community members.

Respondents rate the severity of problems faced by the Pampanga PPO in using Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative-Recording Devices during police operations as high overall (Mean=2.81), highlighting significant challenges across procedural fairness, police performance, data protocols, and privacy concerns.

The study's findings have significant policy implications for the Pampanga Provincial Office and law enforcement agencies more broadly. They highlight the importance of addressing procedural fairness, police performance expectations, data handling protocols, and privacy concerns in the utilization of bodyworn cameras and alternative recording devices. A moderate positive correlation exists between perceived procedural fairness and the encountered problems (Pearson r = 0.43, p = 0.0363). A weak positive correlation exists between perceived police performance and encountered problems (Pearson r = 0.31, p = 0.028). A moderate positive correlation exists between confidence in data protocols and encountered problems (Pearson r = 0.31, p = 0.0321). There is a moderate positive correlation between privacy concerns and encountered problems (Pearson r = 0.46, p = 0.0413). There is a moderate positive correlation between camera benefits and encountered problems (Pearson r = 0.45, p = 0.039).

Based on the study's outcomes, recommendations can be formulated to enhance the effectiveness, transparency, and accountability of police operations involving body-worn cameras and alternative recording device. These recommendations may include policy revisions, training initiatives, community engagement strategies, and continuous evaluation mechanisms.

Ultimately, the outcome of the study contributes to efforts aimed at building public trust and confidence in law enforcement practices. By addressing concerns and improving compliance with established protocols, the Pampanga Police Provincial Office can strengthen its relationship with the community and promote greater accountability and transparency

Table 1. Summary of Respondents' Self-Perceived Level of Compliance, by Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the Use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Recording Devices in the Conduct of Police Operations.

| Variables              | Average Mean | Verbal Interpretation |  |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1. Procedural Fairness | 3.55         | Very High             |  |
| 2. Police Performance  | 3.51         | Very High             |  |
| 3. Data Protocols      | 3.54         | Very High             |  |
| 4. Privacy Concern     | 3.59         | Very High             |  |
| 5. Camera Benefit      | 3.42         | Very High             |  |
| Overall Mean           | 3.49         | Very High             |  |

Table 2. Summary of Respondents Degree of Seriousness of the Problems Encountered by the Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the Use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Recording Devices in the Conduct of Police Operations.

| Variables              | Average Mean | Verbal Interpretation |  |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1. Procedural Fairness | 2.81         | High                  |  |
| 2. Police Performance  | 2.78         | High                  |  |
| 3. Data Protocols      | 2.74         | High                  |  |
| 4. Privacy Concern     | 2.89         | High                  |  |
| 5. Camera Benefits     | 3.07         | High                  |  |
| Overall Mean           | 2.81         | High                  |  |

| Table 3. Relationship Between each Indicator of Compliance and Degree of Problems Encountered by |
|--|
| Pampanga Police Provincial Office in the Use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative Record-        |
| ing Devices in the Conduct of Police Operations.   |

| Indicators             | Pearson r | Interpretation                | p-Value | Interpretation |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------|
| 1. Procedural Fairness | 0.43      | Moderate Positive Correlation | .0363   | Significant    |
| 2. Police Performance  | 0.31      | Weak Positive Correlation     | 0.028   | Significant    |
| 3. Data Protocols      | 0.31      | Moderate Positive Correlation | .0321   | Significant    |
| 4. Privacy Concern     | 0.46      | Moderate Positive Correlation | .0.0413 | Significant    |
| 5. Camera Benefit      | 0.45      | Moderate Positive Correlation | 0.039   | Significant    |

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, the study indicates a very high level of compliance (3.49) in using Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative-Recording Devices during police operations, as perceived by both police officers and community members. However, respondents rate the severity of problems faced by the Pampanga Police Provincial Office as high overall (2.81), highlighting significant challenges across procedural fairness, police performance, data protocols, privacy concerns and camera benefit. Furthermore, moderate positive correlations exist between perceived procedural fairness, police performance, confidence in data protocols, privacy concerns, and encountered problems, suggesting intertwined perceptions and challenges that warrant attention and strategic interventions for improved policing practices and technological integration.

## Recommendations

In view of the findings and conclusion deduced from the responses of the participants, the following are recommended:

**Enhance Training Programs**: Develop comprehensive training initiatives to address technical proficiency, procedural fairness, and data management skills among police officers regarding the use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative-Recording Devices.

**Implement Maintenance Protocols:** Establish regular maintenance schedules and protocols for Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative-Recording Devices to mitigate technical issues and ensure optimal functionality during police operations.

**Review Procedural Guidelines:** Conduct a thorough review of procedural guidelines to

address concerns related to procedural fairness, including the announcement of camera usage and adherence to recording protocols, especially in fast-paced or high-stress situations.

**Strengthen Data Security Measures:** Implement robust data security measures to safeguard recorded footage, mitigate risks of data loss, unauthorized access, and tampering, thereby ensuring the integrity and confidentiality of recorded data.

**Enhance Privacy Protection:** Develop clear policies and protocols to address privacy concerns, including restrictions on recording personal information, preventing unauthorized access, and establishing transparent decision-making processes regarding data access and usage.

**Foster Collaborative Feedback Mechanisms**: Establish channels for open communication and feedback between law enforcement agencies, community members, and stakeholders to address concerns, share best practices, and foster mutual understanding regarding the use of surveillance technologies in policing.

**Regular Monitoring and Evaluation:** Implement mechanisms for regular monitoring, evaluation, and review of the use of Body-Worn Cameras and Alternative-Recording Devices to identify emerging challenges, assess the effectiveness of interventions, and ensure continuous improvement in policing practices.

**Promote Accountability and Transparency**: Foster a culture of accountability and transparency within law enforcement agencies by encouraging adherence to protocols, promoting ethical use of surveillance technologies, and fostering trust and cooperation between police officers and the community.

# Acknowledgment

The Researcher would like to acknowledge in the invaluable and contribution following for their which led to realization of this study:

Dr. Robin A. Pabustan, his thesis adviser, for his guidance, patience and support throughout the entire research process;

Dr. Jezreel B. Vicente, Chair of the Committee, Dr. Imelda C. Runas and Dr Dennis Lagumen, members of the examination committee, for sharing their expertise and ideas to enrich the substance of this study;

PCOL LEVI HOPE BASILIO, Provincial Director, Pampanga Provincial Police Office, for permission to conduct this study in the specified locality;

Community and Police Officers in the Province of Pampanga for their willingness to participate during the data-gathering stage for this study;

My Friends and fellow Police Officer assigned at PNP AVSEU3 General Aviation Police Station for the encouragement and support they shared with the researcher; and My family, for support, encouragement, and love, which greatly helped the researcher to finish this study.

# References

- Aguilar, K. (2021). Filipinos' eyes easers': PNP launches use of body cameras. Inquirer Net. <u>https://newsinfo.in-</u> <u>quirer.net/1441521/fwd-filipinos-eyes-</u> <u>and-ears-pnplaunches-use-of-body-</u> <u>cams#ixzz7InKS5CfN</u>
- Ariel, B., Farrar, W. A., & Sutherland, A. (2015). The Effect of Police Body-Worn Cameras on Use of Force and Citizens' Complaints Against the Police: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Quantitative Criminology, 31(3), 509-535.
- Ariel, B., Farrar, W. A., & Sutherland, A. (2015). The effect of police body-worn cameras on use of force and citizens' complaints against the police: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Quantitative Criminology, 31(3), 509-535.
- Ariel, G., Farrar, W. A., & Sutherland, A. (2016). The Effect of Body-Worn Cameras on

Police Use-of-Force. Journal of Quantitative Criminology.

- Bacani, R. A., Canzana, T., Sanchez, A., Trasmil, J. M., Villaruz, D., & Mosqueda, Ph.D., FRICrim, R. D. (2019). The Mandatory Use of Body Cameras of All Law Enforcement to Promote Transparency in Commonwealth Avenue, Quezon City: An Assessment. Ascendens Asia Singapore – Bestlink College of the Philippines Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(1). Retrieved from <u>https://ojs.aaresearchindex.com/index.php/aasgbcpjmra/article/view/1510</u>
- Blitz MJ (2015) Police Body-Worn Cameras: Evidentiary Benefits and Privacy Threats. Washington, DC: American Constitution Society for Law and Policy. Available at: <u>https://www.acslaw.org/wp-con-</u> <u>tent/uploads/2018/04/Blitz - On-</u> <u>Body Cameras - Issue Brief.pdf</u>
- Braga, A. A., Sousa, W. H., & Weisburd, D. (2019). The Impact of Officer Body-Worn Cameras (BWCs) on Police-Community Interactions: A Controlled Experimental Design. Journal of Criminal Justice.
- Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Body-Worn Camera Toolkit. (2016). Retrieved from Bja.gov/bwc.
- Bureau of Justice Statistics. (2013). Data collection: Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics Survey (LE-MAS). Washington, DC: Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics. Retrieved from bjs.gov/index.cfm?ty=dcdetail&iid=248
- CNN Philippines Staff. (2021). PNP seeks more budget to buy additional body cameras. CNN. <u>https://cnnphilippines.com/news/2021/10/6/PNPbudget-for-additionalbody-cameras.html</u>
- Cordner, G. (2014). Community policing. In M. D. Reisig & R. J. Kane (Eds.), The Oxford handbook of police and policing (pp. 148–171). Oxford University Press.
- Franco Luna, F. (2021). PNP says 'will find ways' to follow SC rules on body cameras despite lack of equipment. Philippine

Star. https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2021/08/03/2117286/pnp-sayswill-find-waysfollow-sc-rules-bodycameras-despite-lack-equipment

- Gill, C., Weisburd, D., Telep, C. W., Vitter, Z., & Bennett, T. (2014). Community-oriented policing to reduce crime, disorder and fear and increase satisfaction and legitimacy among citizens: a systematic review. Journal of Experimental Criminology, 10(4), 399-428.
- Goldsmith, A. (2005). Police reform and the problem of trust. Theoretical Criminology, 9(4), 443–470.
- Goodison, S., & Wilson, T. (2017). Citizen perceptions of body worn cameras: A randomized controlled trial. Washington, DC: Police Executive Research Forum. Retrieved from perf.memberclicks. net/assets/bodyworncameraperceptions.pdf
- Greene, J. R. (2000). Community policing in America: Changing the nature, structure, and function of the police [Criminal justice 2000, volume 3: Policies, processes, and decisions of the criminal justice system]. <u>https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/community-policing-americachanging-nature-structureand-functionpolice</u>.
- Grossmith, L., Owens, C., Finn, W., Mann, D., Davies, T., & Baika, L. (2015). Police, camera, evidence: London's cluster randomised controlled trial of Body Worn Video. London, United Kingdom: College of Policing and the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC).
- Hawdon, J. E., Ryan, J., & Griffin, S. P. (2003). Policing tactics and perceptions of police legitimacy. Police Quarterly, 6(4), 469– 491.
- Hickman, M. J., & Piquero, A. R. (2009). Organizational, administrative, and environmental correlates of complaints about police use of force: Does minority representation matter? Crime & Delinquency, 55(1), 3–27.
- Hong, S. (2017). Does increasing ethnic representativeness reduce police misconduct? Public Administration Review, 77(2), 195–205.

- Hyland, S. S. (2018). Body-worn cameras in law enforcement agencies, 2016. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics. Retrieved from https://www. bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/bwclea16.pdf
- Huynh, C. M. (2022). Perceptions of police performance in a rapid-growth community. International Journal of Police Science & Management, 24(4), 356-368. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/14613557221</u>089559
- Jennings, W. G., Lynch, M. D., & Fridell, L. A. (2015). Evaluating the impact of police officer body-worn cameras (BWCs) on response-to-resistance and serious external complaints: Evidence from the Orlando police department (OPD) experience utilizing a randomized controlled experiment. Journal of Criminal Justice, 43(6), 480-486.
- Jennings, W. G., Lynch, M. D., & Fridell, L. A. (2017). Cops and Cameras: Officer Perceptions of the Use of Body-Worn Cameras in Law Enforcement. Journal of Criminal Justice, 50, 42-50.
- Katz, C. M., Choate, D. E., Ready, J. T., & Nuño, S. A. (2015). Research on Body-Worn Cameras and Law Enforcement: What We Know So Far. National Institute of Justice.
- Katz, C. M., Kurtenbach, M., Choate, D.W., & White, M.D. (2015). Phoenix, Arizona, Smart Policing Initiative: Evaluating the Impact of Police Officer Body-Worn Cameras. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance: Smart Policing Initiative
- Katz, C., & Kurtenbach, M. (2014). Deploying officer body-worn cameras in Phoenix. Office of 41 Justice Programs Diagnostic Center.
- Llanes, J. (2019).PRO-Cordillera to undergo technology innovation. Sunstar.
- Lum, C., & Nagin, D. (2017). Reinventing American Policing. Crime and Justice, 46(1), 339–393.
- Lum, C., Koper, C. S., Wilson, D. B., Stoltz, M., Goodier, M., Eggins, E., Higginson, A., & Mazerolle, L. (Year). Body-worn

cameras' effects on police officers and citizen behavior: A systematic review.

- Lum, C., Stoltz, M., Koper, C. S., & Scherer, E. (2019). Research on Body-Worn Cameras: Summary of Findings from National Institute of Justice-Funded Studies. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
- Malnawa, C. (2021). LTO-CAR enforcers now use body cam. https://www.gurupress cordillera.com/post/lto-car-enforcersuse-body-cam-for-transparency-protection
- McCamman, M., & Culhane, S. (2017). Police body cameras and us: Public perceptions of the justification of the police use of force in the body camera era. Translational Issues in Psychological Science, 3(2), 167. https://doi.org/10.1177/10986111166 51403
- McClure, D., Vigne, N. L., Lynch, M., Golian, L., Lawrence, D., & Malm, A. (2017). How body cameras affect community members' perceptions of police: Results from a randomized controlled trial of one agency's pilot. Washington, DC: Justice Policy Center, Urban Institute. Retrieved from urban.org/sites/default/files/ publication/91331/2001307-howbody-cameras-affect-community-members-perceptions-of-police\_1.pdf
- Miller, L. & Toliver, J., (2014). Implementing a body-worn camera program: Recommendations and lessons learned. Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.
- President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing. (2015). Final report of the President's Task Force on 21st Century Policing. Washington, D.C.: Office of Community Oriented Policing Service.
- Police Executive Research Forum. (2017, April). Citizen Perceptions of Body-Worn Cameras: A Randomized Controlled Trial Final Report. 1120 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 930, Washington, DC 20036.
- Poirier, B., Charbonneau, É., & Boivin, R. (2023). Police body-worn cameras and privacy:

Views and concerns of officers and citizens. International Journal of Police Science & Management, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/14613557231 214383

- San Juan, J.R. (2021). Cops are now required to wear BWCs in serving warrants. Business Mirror. https://businessmirror.com.ph/2021/08/02/cops-now-required-to wear-bwcsin-serving-of-warrants/SupremeCourt. (2021). https://sc.judiciary.gov.ph/19622/
- Smykla, J. O., Burch, A. M., & Casselman, R. M. (2018). Police Body-Worn Cameras: Perceptions of Law Enforcement Leadership. Policing: An International Journal, 41(4), 499-515.
- Strom, K. (2017). Research on the Impact of Technology on Policing Strategy in the 21st Century, Final Report (Document Number: 251140) [Award Number: 2012-MU-CX-0043]. Retrieved from Office of Justice Programs' National Criminal Justice Reference Service. (Unpublished manuscript).
- Tad-awan, Filibert Patrick and Nginsayan, Mylene and Angsofan, Jason F. and Bab-anga, Angelita T. and Bognadon, Karl Floyd and Floresca, Denmar Alfran and Garwageo, Novee Joanne D. and Mosawan, Juarade and Nginsayan, Mylene L. and Palitayan, Vhrandon Lee C., Consequences of Using a Body-Worn Camera by Police Officers in Police Operations (February 22, 2022). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4366571 or http://dx.doi.org/10

.2139/ssrn.4366571

- Taylor, E. (2016). Lights, camera, redaction... Police body-worn cameras: Autonomy, discretion and accountability. Surveillance and Society, 14(1), 128–132
- Theobald, N. A., & Haider-Markel, D. P. (2009). Race, bureaucracy, and symbolic representation: Interactions between citizens and police. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 19(2), 409– 426.
- Toronto Police Service. (2016). Body-worn cameras: A report on the findings of the pilot project to test the value and

feasibility of body-worn cameras for police officers in toronto. Toronto, ON, Canada: Author.

- Tupas, T.T. (2021). SC: Body cameras now mandatory when serving search, and arrest warrants, July 10, 2021. Inquirer Net. <u>https://newsinfo.inquirer.net/1458050/scbodycameraswhen-serving-search-arrest-warrantsnow-mandator</u>
- Tyler, T. R., Fagan, J., & Geller, A. (2014). Street Stops and Police Legitimacy: Teachable Moments in Young Urban Men's Legal Socialization. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies, 11(4), 751-785.
- White, M. D. (2014). Police officer body-worn cameras: Assessing the evidence. Washington, D.C.: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.
- White, M. D. (2018). Research on Police Use of Force: The Case for Keeping and Using UCR Data. Criminology & Public Policy, 17(2), 507-518.
- White, M. D., & Malm, A. (2015). Evaluation of the Use of Body-Worn Cameras in the Phoenix Police Department. Report for [Institution].
- White, M. D., Todak, N., & Gaub, J. E. (2017). Assessing citizen perceptions of body-

worn cameras after encounters with police. Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies and Management, 40, 689–703.

- Witt, Steven, "A Literature Review on Body-Worn Cameras for Law Enforcement" (2018). University Honors Theses. Paper 660. <u>https://doi.org/10.15760/honors.675</u>
- Wright, J. E. II, & Headley, A. M. (2020). Can Technology Work for Policing? Citizen Perceptions of Police-Body Worn Cameras. The American Review of Public Administration, Advance online publication.

https://doi.org/10.1177/02750740209 45632

- Wright, M. F., & Headley, D. N. (2020). Media depictions, police-citizen interactions, and the emergence of Body-Worn Cameras (BWCs). Journal of Law Enforcement and Public Safety Research, 8(2), 45-62.
- Yale, L. E., & Yoeli, E. (2019). The Effects of Body-Worn Cameras on Police Activities and Police-Citizen Interactions: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Experimental Criminology, Volume (Issue), Page numbers.